

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1450 Alexandra, Viguna 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/675,264	09/28/2000	Nobuyoshi Morimoto	5596-00500	1006
7590 06/18/2003			EXAMINER	
Robert C. Kowert Meyertons, Hood, Kivlin, Kowert & Goetzel, P.C.			FUREMAN, JARED	
P.O. Box 398			T OREMA	
Austin, TX 78767-0398			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2876	

DATE MAILED: 06/18/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

				M /			
		Application No.	Applicant(s)	10			
	•	09/675,264	MORIMOTO, NO	BUYOSHI			
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	•	Jared J. Fureman	2876				
Period f	The MAILING DATE of this communicator Reply	ation appears on the cover sh	eet with the correspondence ac	ddress			
THE - External after of the control	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC, nsions of time may be available under the provisions of SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this commune period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) to period for reply is specified above, the maximum staturare to reply within the set or extended period for reply will reply received by the Office later than three months after the patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATION. 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, ication. 1ays, a reply within the statutory minimur tory period will apply and will expire SIX (may a reply be timely filed n of thirty (30) days will be considered time (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this come ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	ely. communication.			
1)[Responsive to communication(s) filed	d on <u>18 March 2003</u> .					
2a)⊡	This action is FINAL . 2b	n)☐ This action is non-final	•				
3)□ Disposit	Since this application is in condition f closed in accordance with the practic ion of Claims	or allowance except for form e under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 19	al matters, prosecution as to to 35 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.	he merits is			
4)∑	Claim(s) 37-49 is/are pending in the a	application.					
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are	withdrawn from consideration	n.				
5)□	Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)[Claim(s) <u>37-49</u> is/are rejected.						
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8)[Claim(s) are subject to restricti	on and/or election requireme	ent.				
Applica	tion Papers						
	The specification is objected to by the		_				
10)[10)∑ The drawing(s) filed on <u>28 September 2000</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.							
	If approved, corrected drawings are requ		1.				
12)	The oath or declaration is objected to t	by the Examiner.					
-	under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim f	or foreign priority under 35 U	.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).				
а) All b) Some * c) None of:						
	1. Certified copies of the priority d	ocuments have been receive	ed.				
	2. Certified copies of the priority d	ocuments have been receive	ed in Application No				
*	3. Copies of the certified copies of application from the Internation See the attached detailed Office action	tional Bureau (PCT Rule 17.	2(a)).	al Stage			
14)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim fo	r domestic priority under 35 l	J.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provision	al application).			
	 a) The translation of the foreign lang Acknowledgment is made of a claim fo 						
Attachme							
2) Not	ice of References Cited (PTO-892) ice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PT rmation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Pa	O-948) 5) N	terview Summary (PTO-413) Paper N otice of Informal Patent Application (P ther:				
0.5.	Trademady Office						

DETAILED ACTION

Receipt is acknowledged of the IDS, filed on 1/10/2003, the change of address and the amendment, filed on 3/18/2003, all of which have been entered in the file. Claims 37-49 are pending.

Claim Objections

The numbering of claims is not in accordance with 37 CFR 1.126 which requires 1. the original numbering of the claims to be preserved throughout the prosecution. When claims are canceled, the remaining claims must not be renumbered. When new claims are presented, they must be numbered consecutively beginning with the number next following the highest numbered claims previously presented (whether entered or not).

Misnumbered claims 37-45 been renumbered 41-49 (claims 1-40 were originally filed and claims 1-36 were cancelled by the amendment filed on 3/18/2003).

Claims 37-40, 45, 46, and 49 are objected to because of the following 2. informalities:

Claims 37-40 are objected to as being incomplete, since claim 37 depends from cancelled claim 33 and claims 38-40 depend, either directly or indirectly, from claim 37. For examination purposes, claim 37 has been interpreted so as to include the limitations of cancelled claim 33.

Claim 45, line 2: "each" should be replaced with --a--, in order to avoid a lack of proper antecedent basis for "each carrier memory device".

Claim 46, line 2: "each" should be replaced with --a--, in order to avoid a lack of proper antecedent basis for "each carrier memory device".

Application/Control Number: 09/675,264 Page 3

Art Unit: 2876

Claim 49 is objected to as being incomplete, since claim 49 depends from cancelled claim 6. Since claim 49 is a method claim and claim 6 was an apparatus claim, it appears as though claim 49 depending from claim 6 was a typographical error. Thus, for examination purposes, claim 49 has been interpreted so as to depend from claim 41.

Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 37-40 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Woolley et al (US 5,804,810, previously cited) in view of Harris et al (US 5,466,030, previously cited).

Woolley et al teaches carrier (for example: railway car or truck 12₆) for shipping items, wherein the carrier comprises: a plurality of storage locations each configured to store a container (as shown in figures 5 and 6 the railway car and truck have a plurality of storage locations for storing containers 12₁), wherein each container includes a container memory device (memory 104 of asset tag 16₁), a carrier memory device configured to store destination information about the carrier (memory 104 of asset tag 16₆), wherein the carrier memory device allows read-write access to the stored destination information; wherein the carrier further comprises an interface

(communications circuitry 102 of asset tag 16₆), a processor (microprocessor 100 of asset tag 16₆) and a power supply (power 110 of asset tag 16₆) each coupled to the carrier memory device; wherein the processor is configured to upload information stored in the carrier memory device to a network (operations center 13) via the interface; a temperature/environment sensor (18₁, 18₂, etc.), wherein the processor is configured to periodically store temperature/environmental data from the temperature/environment sensor into the carrier memory device, wherein the upload information includes at least part of the temperature/environmental data (see figures 1-3, 5, 6, 8, 11, column 1 line 54 - column 2 line 11, column 4 lines 6-19, 40-53, column 4 line 66 - column 5 line 16, column 13 lines 5-12, column 16 lines 8-67, column 17 lines 3-15, column 17 line 44 - column 19 line 31, column 19 line 57 - column 20 line 58, column 21 line 26 - column 22 line 4, column 25 line 15 - column 26 line 30, column 30 lines 37-43, column 57 lines 36-52, column 62 line 64 - column 64 line 29).

Woolley et al fails to specifically teach each storage location being configured to permit a container stored therein to be removed without removing other storage containers stored in other storage locations.

Harris et al teaches a carrier (vehicle 10) including a plurality of storage locations (on deck 18) each configured to store a container (cargo), each storage location being configured to permit a container stored therein to be removed without removing other storage containers stored in other storage locations (vehicle is configured to be side-loaded, thereby allowing individual loading/unloading of cargo without the need to

disturb the remaining cargo on the deck) (see figures 1, 2, column 1 lines 5-20, 40-50, column 4 lines 45-53, and column 7 lines 3-10).

In view of Harris et al's teachings, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to include, with the system as taught by Woolley et al, each storage location being configured to permit a container stored therein to be removed without removing other storage containers stored in other storage locations, in order to permit individual access to each container thereby increasing the convenience and efficiency of the system.

5. Claims 41-49 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Woolley et al in view of Lubenow et al (US 5,715,398).

Woolley et al teaches a method, comprising: routinely transporting a plurality of standard carriers (ships 62 and railway cars or trucks 126 each representing a standard carrier) on a plurality of shipping routes (the routes of the ships and trucks), wherein each standard carrier stores containers (121) and wherein said routinely transporting includes transferring a container from a carrier of the plurality of standard carriers to another carrier of the plurality of standard carriers (transferring a container from a ship to a railway car or truck, for example) in response to data (a planned route/waypoints) stored in a container memory device (memory 104 of asset tag 161) attached to the container; receiving a shipment including a plurality of containers (for example, the ship delivers a plurality of containers to a holding area 64) for transport from an origination (the object/containers shipper) to a destination (the destination of the object/container); storing data indicative of the destination in each container memory device attached to

Application/Control Number: 09/675,264

Art. Unit: 2876

one of the plurality of containers (the planned route, waypoints and destination are stored in the memory 104 of each asset tag 16₁); wherein the storing comprises storing a unique container identifier (a unique identification for the object, a description of the object), data indicative of the origination (the object/containers shipper), and data indicative of one or more intermediate destinations (waypoints) along the one of the plurality of shipping routes in each container memory device (memory 104 of asset tag 16₁) attached to one of the containers included in the plurality of containers; uploading data stored in one or more container memory devices attached to containers included in one or more of the plurality of standard carriers to a server (operations center 13) via a network (a network of tags 16); periodically sensing a temperature/environmental condition (via sensors 18₁, 18₂, etc.) and storing data indicative of the temperature/environmental condition in a container memory device (memory 104 of asset tag 16₆) attached to one of the plurality of containers; storing data indicative of a respective one of the shipping routes in a carrier memory device (memory 104 of asset tag 166) attached to one of the plurality of standard carriers; storing data indicative of which of the plurality of containers are stored in the one of the plurality of standard carriers in a carrier memory device (memory 104 of asset tag 166) attached to one of the plurality of standard carriers (the asset tag 166 stores the unique identification/description of the containers 12₁); updating the data indicative of which of the plurality of containers are stored in the one of the plurality of standard carriers in response to transferring one of the plurality of containers to a different one of the plurality of standard carriers (after containers 12₁ are loaded into a carrier 12₆ the asset

tag 16₁ will communicate with the asset tag 16₆); updating data stored in each container memory device attached to one of the plurality of containers in response to the one of the plurality of standard carriers being received at a hub along the one of the plurality of shipping routes (for example, a GPS sensor (one of sensors 18) will update the location of the containers and asset tags) (see figures 1-3, 5-8, 11, column 1 line 54 - column 2 line 11, column 4 lines 6-19, 40-53, column 4 line 66 - column 5 line 16, column 13 lines 5-12, column 16 lines 8-67, column 17 lines 3-15, column 17 line 44 - column 19 line 31, column 19 line 57 - column 20 line 58, column 21 line 26 - column 22 line 4, column 25 line 15 - column 26 line 30, column 30 lines 37-43, column 52 line 60 - column 53 line 32, column 57 lines 36-52, column 59 lines 19-22, column 62 line 64 - column 64 line 29).

Woolley et al fails to specifically teach if shipping different portions of the shipment over different ones of the plurality of shipping routes costs less than shipping all of the shipment over a same one of the plurality of shipping routes, placing a portion of the plurality of containers in one of the plurality of standard carriers to be transported on one of the plurality of shipping routes and placing another portion of the plurality of containers in another one of the plurality of standard carriers to be transported on a different one of the plurality of shipping routes.

Lubenow et al teaches a method, comprising: transporting a plurality of items (books, for example) on a plurality of shipping routes, wherein the transporting includes transferring items from a carrier to another carrier (transferring books from a truck to the U.S. Postal Service, for example); receiving a shipment including a plurality of items for

transport from an origination to a destination; if shipping different portions of the shipment over different ones of the plurality of shipping routes costs less than shipping all of the shipment over a same one of the plurality of shipping routes, placing a portion of the plurality of containers in one of the plurality of standard carriers to be transported on one of the plurality of shipping routes and placing another portion of the plurality of containers in another one of the plurality of standard carriers to be transported on a different one of the plurality of shipping routes (for example, rather than sending a partially loaded truck to a specific entry point, the surplus is reassigned to different entry points, so as to maximize the load of each truck) (see figures 2, 6F, column 3 lines 15-50, column 4 lines 31-48, column 11 line 50 - column 12 line 15, and column 17 lines 6-13).

In view of Lubenow et al's teachings, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to include, with the method as taught by Woolley et al, if shipping different portions of the shipment over different ones of the plurality of shipping routes costs less than shipping all of the shipment over a same one of the plurality of shipping routes, placing a portion of the plurality of containers in one of the plurality of standard carriers to be transported on one of the plurality of shipping routes and placing another portion of the plurality of containers in another one of the plurality of standard carriers to be transported on a different one of the plurality of shipping routes, in order to utilize the most efficient and cost effective distribution plan.

Application/Control Number: 09/675,264 Page 9

Art Unit: 2876

Response to Arguments

6. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 41-49 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

As discussed above, Lubenow et al teaches utilizing the most cost effective distribution plan, including the reassignment of a surplus of items from one entry point to other entry points, that is, rather than sending the total surplus to a single entry point using a partially loaded truck, the surplus is divided and reassigned by being added to trucks traveling to other entry points.

Conclusion

- 7. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Brockwell et al (US 5,063,506) teaches a system and method for estimating the most cost effective delivery of parts. Kashiwase (JP 5-242106 A) teaches a method for reducing distribution cost by determining a distribution route.
- 8. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any

Application/Control Number: 09/675,264 Page 10

Art Unit: 2876

extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jared J. Fureman whose telephone number is (703) 305-0424. The examiner can normally be reached on 7:00 am - 4:30 PM M-T, and every other Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael G. Lee can be reached on (703) 305-3503. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 308-7722 for regular communications and (703) 308-7722 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0956.

June 15, 2003

Jared J. Fureman Jared J. Fureman Art Unit 2876